



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/614,363	07/12/2000	John M. Airey	15-4-632.51	2211
28393 7.	28393 7590 07/13/2004		. EXAMINER	
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C. 1100 NEW YORK AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			HAVAN, THU THAO	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			2672	24
•			DATE MAILED: 07/13/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•							
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
1		09/614,363	AIREY ET AL.				
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Thu-Thao Havan	2672				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address				
THE - External control	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 FIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period where to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a re within the statutory minimum of thirty ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AB.	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[\inf	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 A	oril 2004.					
•—	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)□	,—						
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
, —	Claim(s) 1-3,5-13,22,26-33 and 35-37 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3,5-13,22,26-33 and 35-37 is/are reconstruction is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or claim(s)	vn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)[10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachmer	nt(s)	_					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Infor	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Claims 1-3,5-13,22,26-33 and 35-37 are pending in the present application.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed April 29, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As addressed below, Rossin and Deering teach the claimed limitations.

Rossin discloses a floating point format and a floating point frame buffer coupled to the raster subsystem for storing a plurality of floating point color values (col. 2, lines 12-67). In other words, Rossin teaches the divider performs a floating point divide operation on a floating point numerator and a floating point denominator. The comparator performs a comparison of the floating point denominator, except for a sign bit of the floating point denominator, with a floating point value of 0.0. A logic element, responsive to a control signal indicative of the floating point divide operation, provides to the comparator equal sign bits associated with the floating point denominator and the floating point value of 0.0. A result of the comparison indicates a divide by zero operation and is independent of the sign of the floating point denominator. In that he teaches a storage device, such as a register file, for providing the floating point numerator and the floating point denominator to the divider, and for providing the floating point value of 0.0 and the floating point denominator to the comparator. A first register may be coupled between the register file and the comparator for holding the

floating point value of 0.0. A second register may be coupled between the register file and the comparator for holding the floating point denominator, except for the sign bit of the floating point denominator. The logic element may comprise an AND gate receiving the control signal at a first input and the sign bit of the floating point denominator at a second input.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claim **31** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable by Rossin et al. (US Patent No. 5,862,066).

Re claim **31**, Rossin discloses a computer system comprising a raster subsystem for performing a rasterization process, the rasterization process performed in a floating point format and a floating point frame buffer coupled to the raster subsystem for storing a plurality of floating point color values (<u>col. 2</u>, <u>lines 12-67</u>). In other words, Rossin teaches a typical computer graphics system include a geometry accelerator, a rasterizer and a frame buffer. The output from the geometry accelerator, referred to as rendering data, is used by the rasterizer (and optional texture mapping hardware) to compute final screen space coordinates and R, G, B color values for each pixel constituting the primitives. The pixel data is stored in the frame buffer for display on a display screen.

In that the geometry accelerator may be required to perform on the order of hundreds of millions of floating point calculations per second per chip. Functions of the geometry accelerator may include three-dimensional transformation, lighting, clipping, and perspective divide operations as well as plane equation generation, performed in floating point format. Geometry accelerator functions result in rendering data which is sent to the frame buffer subsystem for rasterization.

Page 4

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 5-13, 22, 26-30, 32-33, and 35-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rossin et al. (US Patent No. 5,862,066) in view of Deering et al. (US Patent No. 6,115,047).

Re claim 1, Rossin teaches a rasterization circuit coupled to the processor that rasterizes the primitive according to a rasterization process which operates using a floating point format (col. 7, lines 18-41; col. 3, lines 1-19), a frame buffer coupled to the rasterization circuit for storing a plurality of image values in a floating point format and a display screen coupled to the frame buffer for displaying an image according to the image values stored in the frame buffer (col. 2, lines 12-67; col. 3, lines 20-32). In other words, Rossin teaches a typical computer graphics system include a geometry

'Application/Control Number: 09/614,363

Art Unit: 2672

accelerator, a rasterizer and a frame buffer. The output from the geometry accelerator, referred to as rendering data, is used by the rasterizer (and optional texture mapping hardware) to compute final screen space coordinates and R, G, B color values for each pixel constituting the primitives. The pixel data is stored in the frame buffer for display on a display screen. In that the geometry accelerator may be required to perform on the order of hundreds of millions of floating point calculations per second per chip.

Functions of the geometry accelerator may include three-dimensional transformation, lighting, clipping, and perspective divide operations as well as plane equation generation, performed in floating point format. Geometry accelerator functions result in rendering data which is sent to the frame buffer subsystem for rasterization.

However, Rossin fails to explicitly teach a processor for performing geometric calculations on a plurality of vertices of a primitive. On the other hand, Deering teaches a processor for performing geometric calculations on a plurality of vertices of a primitive (col. 10, line 60 to col. 11, line 63; figs. 7-8 and 11). He teaches the F-core processor receives geometry primitive data and performs floating point operations on the received geometry data. He discloses detailed surface geometry may be rendered using texture maps, although providing more realism requires raw geometry, usually in the form of triangle primitives. In modem workstation computer systems, position, color (e.g., red, blue, green and optionally alpha), and normal components of these triangles are typically represented as floating point numbers. In that he also teaches handling floating point Z-buffer. The Z-values correspond to vertices of a given primitive being processed within a graphic pipeline. The Z-values received by the pipeline are

represented in a floating point format which includes a mantissa portion and an exponent portion. Therefore, having the combined teaching of Rossin and Deering as a whole, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to modify the floating pint operations of Rossin to have a processor for performing geometric calculations on a plurality of vertices of a primitive as claimed. Doing so would enable the Z-values being represented more efficiently resulting in increased performance for the graphics pipeline (col. 10, line 60 to col. 11, line 63; figs. 7-8 and 11).

Re claim **2**, Rossin discloses rasterization circuit performs scan conversion on vertices having floating point values (<u>col. 2</u>, <u>lines 12-67</u>). In other words, Rossin teaches three-dimensional transformation, texture mapping, lighting, clipping, and perspective divide operations as well as plane equation generation performed in floating point format.

Re claim **3**, Deering discloses a texture circuit coupled to the rasterization circuit that applies a texture to the primitive, wherein the texture is specified by floating point values and a texture memory coupled to the texture circuit that stores a plurality of textures in floating point values (figs. 7-8).

Re claim **5**, Rossin discloses the floating point format is comprised of sixteen bits (col. 1, lines 32-44). Rossin teaches floating point values have 16 bits.

Re claim **7**, Rossin discloses a lighting circuit coupled to the rasterization circuit for performing a lighting function, wherein the lighting function executes on floating point values (<u>col. 2</u>, <u>lines 42-67</u>).

Application/Control Number: 09/614,363

Art Unit: 2672

Re claims **6, 8-13 and 22,** the limitations of claims 8-11 and 22 are analyzed as discussed with respect to claim 1.

Re claim **26**, Deering discloses the steps of writing, storing, and reading the data in the frame buffer in the floating point format are further comprised of specifying the floating point format according to a specification, wherein the specification corresponds to a level of range and precision (<u>col. 10</u>, line 51 to col. 11, line 27; col. 8, lines 15-30).

Re claims **32-33** and **35**, Deering discloses the floating point color values are written to, read from, and stored in the frame buffer (<u>col. 10</u>, <u>lines 44-59</u>). In other words, Deering teaches the frame buffer interface comprises logic necessary to read and write pixels from the <u>3DRAM</u> memories. The frame buffer interface manages the level 1 and leval 2 caches (i.e. store data) in the <u>3DRAM</u> chips.

Re claims **36-37**, Deering discloses the floating point color values are comprised of 16 bits of data and the data are comprised of one sign bit, ten mantissa bits, and five exponent bits (col. 12, line 6 to col. 14, line 65).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

2672

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Inquiries

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Thu Thao Havan whose telephone number is (703) 308-

7062. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael Razavi can be reached on (703) 305-4713.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal

Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office

whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Thu-Thao Havan July 8, 2004

MATTHEW LUU PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 8